Understanding Participation in Social Audit Process of a Community School: A Case Study

PRANAV BHATTARAI

Abstract

The active approximation provides and approximation of these controllands discuss and approximation of the control of the cont

Keywords: Social Audit, Participation, Power Dynamics, Knowledge

1. Introduction

Social Audit is a process in which, details of resource, both financial and non-financial, used by public agencies for development initiatives are shared with the people, often through a public platform (Dwiwel & Singh, 2010), Social audit has been understood and practiced as a platform where oftizers can practice date as outform where others not practice date as outform where the practice practice date practice practice practice practice practice pr

assess the use of resources and quality of public services being delivered by a public entity to ensure accountability transparency and effectiveness in resource management and public service delivery. Social audit has been practiced globally as a tool for a systemic evaluation of an institution or a public service agency in participation of concerned beneficiaries. Social audit is intended to analyze every risk factor and give recommendations for possible means for their mitigation (Nkonova & Sucharev, 2002). Besides system: assessment of an institution, social audit as a mechanism is more concerned with social contribution or impact of activities of an agency.

rivil society ornanizations in years after democratic restorations in early 1990s. sector fremally in late 2000 This initiative for schools (DoE, 2008). The social audit directives were amended in 2014. The Education Regulations (MoE, 2016) chair of Parent Teacher Association as a coordinator of Social Audit Committee (SAC) is fully responsible to organize efficient use of resources to ensure quality 2008 envision that one of the objectives of

Participation is an umbrella term that describes the activities by which people's concerns, needs, interests, and values are incorporated into decisions and actions on public matters and issues (Nabatchi, 2012; Nabatchi & Amsler, 2014; Roberts, 2008). This definition tries to define participation on issues of public interest and matters. & Amster 2014) define participation as in the process for providing input, making indirect forms of participation citizens try to influence or effect decisions through their and stakeholders discuss jointly and try to influence decisions (Reomi 2017) Even authors have tried to classify them into different categories. Direct participation many different contexts. Over the last two of processes and activities that share understood and practiced in different forms and modes. Participation of parents can be linked with conventional mode of

2. Methods of Study

I have used case study as strategy of inquiry, and it is field-based research for a ungle unter le malysis of a cause. Als Cherring en interners study of a single until form in interners study of a single until form interners study of a single until form interners study of a single until form information through multiple sources study as discussion with stashedders, information through multiple sources interners and the single sources of gathering findersition multiple sources of gathering findersition in the help of discussions, discument multiple sources on the topic L lands in the help of discussions, discument information. The subscript specification is consistent with the stashed secondary School Raffmenda L, the subscript specification in the program. Raffers and sources of specification in the program is discussion with specification in the program. Raffers and one with system is specification of the program is discussioned in the independent of the specification of the program engaged a wide names of statehedders in the program. Raffers and specification of the program is the program is discussioned in the specification of the program. Raffers and a specification of the program engaged a wide names of statehedders in the program. Raffers and a specification of the program engaged a wide names of statehedders

3. Findings and Discussions

These presented a case shape of the low particular and particular programs and an applications of the interplanet particular programs and particular participant materian participant part

experiences demonstrated how constants factors of institutional paintipaping culture and social audit design process including and constraints and the sign process including and towindings asymmetry influences the participatory process in the social dynamics from the findings, the article and unequal socia-economic conditions and unequal socia-economic conditions of social audit as anables for participators as of sakeholders in the social audit process.

3.1 Institutional Participatory Culture and its Positive Impact on Participation

The reason's has identified from layer, and a burriers or governing of the source of the participation separitement of the dented of the participation separitement of the dented of the participation of data audit impacted opolithey on participation of data data. The dented of the source of the participation of data data with the data of the data of the source of the data and it impacted opolithey on participation of social audit impacted polithey on participation that was an ended of the participation of the source of the data of the data of the data of the participation of data data of the data of the data of the participation of data of the data of the data of the part of the data of the data of the data of the data of the part of the basiness as a condition of the data of the part of the basiness as schedul that happens recent part of the data of the part of the basiness as schedul that happens recent and the data of the data of the data of the data of the part of the basiness as schedul that happens recent and the data of the data

However, their contribution to the discussion during the social audit process was more imited and lacked two-way exchange of ideas and information. Sharing of rights and responsibilities and other curcial information with the citizens and stakeholders is the most important size toward the leoitimate offizer participation in terms of active and meaningful participation (knneke, 2000). Research participants especially student the second state of the second state of the second transport of the second state of the second participation in a more meaningful way which constrained their engagement and participation in the social audit process of the last three yeaks in a way made the participation their responsibilities equily them on their responsibilities to a second participation the social audit.

Challes & et alute the participation of tabulations in the source and process dimension of organization's participants. In the control of the source and the source of the source and the source and the source and dimension of organization's participants. In this during tool and status a enable for disability and status and status and the source and the source and the source and the participant of the source and the source and disability and the source a

3.2 Ritualized Participation: The Rules of the Game

Practice of social audit was found to be more of a ritual process in terms of participation contrary to what Social Audit guidelines state. Social audit practice has been found explicitly against the provisions of the social audit guidelines from participation perspective. Research participants shared that ossisting occidita and guidelineid devert have any locaritive of reward and putchtimet. There are provide the second and putchtimeter has encouraged expectisity parents and students to be less interested expecting parents in social and grounds of any consultation in social and grounds from of participation more a maturated develoid of any consultation throughts, Rhautated form of participation, as Armstein (2001) calls it a kind of manipulators broughts and the low in which participation in the process, as committee members who process.

Aleacher, member of sical aud committee, author research participant, observed a has been ritualized, Lack of schricital knowledge on how social audit needs to be conducted was one of the reakons for maintaining participation, engagement and maintaining participation, engagement in community schools. Their observation in community schools and social audit committee members lacked adequate orientation and capacity development on the social audit procedure from the ostiponoton.

Add committee members shared that they have been approximately and the second of the why citizen participation should be active and commutative. The other reasons as shaders and parent representations shared, the behavior and the second of the social and parent expression and the social and control behavior and and committee members contributed the social social social social committee members contributed where the social social social committee members of social social social committee members of social tools, implementation of such accountability tool runs the risk of being limited to mere formality or rituality (WB, 2012). Thus, the participation of citizens and stakeholders in the social audit process has run the risk of being ritualized with set rules of the came.

3.3 Participation without Mutual Collaboration and Consultation

Social Acad ama at imposing transportery and accordiality mixed by anticipations demand for governance (McNet & Matena, 2005). Social audit as an accordiability consistence of the second second second demand for governance (McNet & Matena, 2005). Social audit as an accordiability collaboration and consultation among statistications and consultation and periodic and the second statistication and according to the second statistication and statistication according to the second statistication accordiance and the second of information inputs and knowledge periodic (2005). Second Second Second Second according to the second statistication according to a second statistication according to the second of information inputs and knowledge process (2016) are 4, 2020.

Parent and student representatives as reparch participants shared that understood as a hoot to provide a forum of samong state-hoots for interaction and collaboration on different issues relating mechanism of the collaboration of the microad governance in the community school. SAC coordinate de rais samon interactions to future the collaboration of microad governance in the community school. SAC coordinates and the second school and constantion process. My also transported with segmentation of micro destinguisments and consultative process. My also transported with segmentation of micro research participants ais majority of the participants remained passive and silvent during the process. Parents and students present in the social audit event did not deliberate on the issues. The reason behind non-participation in terms of colaboration and deliberation was because of lack of information and knowledge about the different issues of the school and entire social audit process.

onvernance (Ansell & Gash 2008) her ause school management committee can discuss different issues confronting the school to the discussion. Ritual selection of

3.4 Unequal Socio-Economic Status: A Constraint on Participation

Unequal socio-economic status of participants was a barrier for participation in the social audit process. Participants rhmamics among participants Teacher SAC stakeholders affect the incentives of process (Sunton & Day 2003) Research Thus, asymmetrical financial status and

Majority of parents who sand their kids to the commutity should also from low socialeconomic background. Many parents can't background failing parents can't background failing parents can't backase they are background failing than the activities that takes parents and the parents bank of background fails and the parent social and process. Majority of the parents fails and engineerem of backetodes in the social and process. Majority of the parents fails and parents and the parents and engineerem of lancehal candod the parents fails and parents and the parents fails and the parent fails and the parents fails and the parent fails and the p unlike parents and students, stakeholdens like teachers and SAC coordinator are comparatively better off and socially aiso intuburial who can afford to participate in the process with active engagement and contribution. But resource asymmetry among stakeholders was disempowering for some stakeholders where an economically better-off stakeholders ware found to be more active and dominant during the discussion in various SAC meetings and the social audit cocess.

A parent representative in SAC shared that SAC coordinator to share her thoughts, deliberation when alternatives to it-such as roughly balanced power (Fung & Wright, relations owing to poor socio-economic

The discussion reinforces a strong correlation between resource asymmetry (socio-economic status) of participants which also limited the chances of meaningful and active participation. If there are significant power/resource imbalances between stake/holders, such that important stake/holders cannot participate in a meaningful way (here) and Galas 2008). The dimension of resource asymmetry based on socie-economic status and status and the barriers and the barriers barry fore during deliberation in the social audit process.

4. Conclusion

Institutional participatory culture played an enable for parent and students to participate in the SAC meetings and toolal audit process. Social audit design process wai found nuls and protocols of proper subaction of these taken into communication, systematic follow-up, including sensitization of statewholders on why local audit is being organized and what is expected out of them in the process. social audit has performed as a barrier for mainingfu and constructive participation of parents and students. Another key barrier for equal participation was identified as knowkidege variation among stakeholders: Parents and students did not know anything about social audit, its importance and their issuer elided to school. Knowledge variation among research participants has canade unequal boorer initiations depriving them of participating in the process on equal footing.

Unequal socio-aconomic conditions performad the role of a barrier for equal participation of certaingroup of data/mobilers inicial autoprocess. In the ose of perent and students, they balonged to how toolsdepended on manifold base of data/works. The findings explicitly indicate when stakeholdes and briening base of data/works. The findings explicitly indicate when stakeholdes and barve relations among them. Prevalence of such integral socioacenomic stable datapower ald apolg in perspective prime to the perspective travel prime of the perspective travel perspective to perspective travel perspective to perspective travels of the perspective travel perspective to perspective travel perspective to perspective travels of the perspective travele

References

- Ansell, C. & Gash, A. (2007). Collaborative governance in theory and practice. Journal of Public Administration Research and Theory, 8, 543-571.
- Armstein, S. R. (1969). A ladder of citizen participation. Journal of the American Planning Association, 35(4), 216–224.
- Balkerada, S. (2014). Qualitative case study guidelines. The Qualitative Report, 19(40), 1-IB. Retrieved from https://nuworks.nova.edu/tp/vol19/lss40/3
- Challes, E., de Verde, J., Frewer, L., Hohenwallner-Ries, D., Huber, T., Neumann, R. K., Vella, S. (2017). A theory of participation: What makes stakeholders and public engagement work in environmental challenges? Restouries ficeology, 20(1), 57–517. doi: 10.1111/sec.12741
- Department of Education (DoE). (2008). Directives for operating social audit of schools (first amendment). Kathmanda: Author.
- Dwivedi, R & Singh, K.V. (2002) Social audit and its methodology. New Delhi: Accountability initiative, Center for Policy Research.
- Edmonds, W.A., & Kennedy, T.D. (2012). An applied reference guide to research designs: Quantitative, qualitative, and mixed methods: Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
- Fung, A. and Wright, E.O. (2001) Deepening Democracy: Innovations in Empowered Participatory Governance. Politics & Society, 29, 5-41. https://doi.org/10.1177/0032329201029001002

- Gening, J. (2004). What is a case study and what is it good for? American Political Science Review, 98(2), 341-354.
- Gunton, T. I., & Day, J. C. (2003). The theory and practice of collaborative planning in resource and environmental management. Environment. 37(2): 5–79.
- McNail, M., & Malena, C. (2010). Demanding good governance: Lessons from social accountability initiatives in Africa. Washington, DC: The World Bank.
- Nkonova, J., & Suharev, C. (2002). Management audit: Personnel: Moscow: Examen Publishing House.

Nebatchi, T. (2012). A manager's guide to evaluating citizen participation. Washington,

DC: IBM Center for the Business of Government.

- Nabarichi, T. & Arnaler, L. B. (2014). Direct public engagement in local government. American Review of Public Administration. 4449, 63–88.
- Regmi, S. (2017). Implementation of social audit in community schools. (unpublished MPhil dissertation). Tribhuvan University, Department of Education, Kritipur.

Roberts, N. C. (2008). The age of direct citizen participation. Armonk, NY: M.E.

Sharpe.

- Timelsins, P. M. (2015). Social accountability: A study on social audit in public institutions. (unpublished MPhil desentation). Tribhuven University. Central Department of Socialogy. Kritipur.
- World Bank. (2012). Social audit in Nepal's community schools: Measuring policy against practice. Kethmandu: World Bank.
- Yin, R. K. (1994). Case study research: Design and methods (2nd ed.). Newbury Park, CA: Sage Publications.

(Pranev Ebattarsi is a development practitioner with experiences in local governance, federalism and anti-corruption. He is currently working as Governance Advisor at PHI 360 Monet Email: hobitras/2009/intermed.com